

Recommended Draft Policy

ARIN 2015-11

Remove transfer language which
only applied pre-exhaustion of IPv4
pool

Presented by Kevin Blumberg

Problem Statement

- NRPM sections 8.3 and 8.4 include language which is in effect "until exhaustion." As ARIN is no longer able to fulfill IPv4 requests, exhaustion has effectively occurred. This proposal serves to remove the outdated language from the NRPM

Policy Statement 1

Remove the following sections of the NRPM:

- Section 8.3 (Transfers to Specified Recipients ARIN region)
 - Remove entirely the 2nd bullet: “The source entity will be ineligible to receive any further IPv4 address allocations or assignments from ARIN for a period of 12 months after a transfer approval, or until the exhaustion of ARIN's IPv4 space, whichever occurs first.”
- Section 8.4 (Inter-RIR Transfers to Specified Recipients)
 - Remove entirely the third bullet which reads "Source entities within the ARIN region will not be eligible to receive any further IPv4 address allocations or assignments from ARIN for a period of 12 months after a transfer approval, or until the exhaustion of ARIN's IPv4 space, whichever occurs first."

Staff and Legal

2015-11 is “purely removal of inoperative policy text,” and will have “no effect on processing of requests.

Language in 8.3 & 8.4 prevents organizations from being a source in an approved 8.3 or 8.4 transfer if they received IPv4 address space in the 12 months prior, and this is would remain operative even if the proposal change is made.

Policy could be implemented as written

No material legal issues

Discussion

AC's assessment of conformance with Principles of Number Resource Policy:

ARIN 2015-11 contributes to fair and impartial number resource administration by removing from the NRPM text that has become inoperative since the depletion of the IPv4 free pool in September 2015, thereby avoiding confusion among people applying for 8.3 or 8.4 transfers. This proposal is technically sound, in that the removal of the text in question does not create any contradictions or loopholes in the application of policies that still matter. The proposal was supported by some community members on PPML and at the ARIN meeting in Montreal, and did not generate any opposition.